

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/811,584	03/20/2001	Katsuya Yamada	122.1442	9225
	21171 7590 02/04/2008 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
	SUITE 700			BRUCKART,	BENJAMIN R
٠.	WASHINGTON	NK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2155	
			•		·
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•			02/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/811,584	YAMADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Benjamin R. Bruckart	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2007.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,8,9,11,12,15,16 and 19-21 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4,8,9,11,12,15,16 and 19-21 is/are r 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original sheet (s) in the or	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

09/811,584 Art Unit: 2155

#### **Detailed Action**

#### **Status of Claims:**

Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19-21 are pending in this Office Action.

Claims 1-2, 5-7, 10, 13-14, 17-18 remain cancelled.

No amended or new claims.

The claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention. "Office personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969)" (MPEP p 2100-8, c 2, I 45-48; p 2100-9, c 1, I 1-4). The Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense. The Examiner will reference prior art using terminology familiar to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such an approach is broad in concept and can be either explicit or implicit in meaning.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in the amendment filed 11/30/07, have been fully considered but found not persuasive. The reasons are set forth below.

#### Applicant's invention as claimed:

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No 6,421,777 by Pierre-Louis et al (herein after "PL").

Regarding claim 19, a method for automatically setting an environment of a client computer in a client/server system (PL: col. 1, line 66- col. 2, line 14), comprising:

instructing an agent residing on the client to install data on the client according to a reading of a script residing on the server wherein said server includes a manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely (PL: col. 6, lines 21-30, 42-58; bios=agent; bootstrap=script).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

09/811,584 Art Unit: 2155

Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,089 by Selitrennikoff et al ("herein referred to as Seli") in view of U.S. Patent No 6,421,777 by Pierre-Louis et al (herein after "PL").

Regarding claim 20, the Seli reference teaches an apparatus for setting an environment of a client in a client/server system (Seli: col. 6, lines 53-67), said apparatus comprising:

a first unit recognizing that replacement of a hard disk of a client is performed (Seli: col. 11, lines 31-42; col. 13, lines 5-32);

a second unit that installs data (Seli: col. 11, lines 31-42; col. 13, lines 5-32), which is backed up from said hard disk provided in a said client before the replacement thereof and subsequently and preliminarily stored in a server (Seli: col. 2, lines 65-col. 3, line 4), in response to the replacement thereof onto a hard disk provided in said client after the replacement thereof, said data being installed on said client by an agent residing on said client according to a reading of an execution script residing on the server (Seli: col. 12, lines 50-63; col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4).

The Seli reference fails to teach the server issuing a client switching instruction.

However, the PL reference teaches a server includes a manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely (PL: col. 6, lines 21-30, 42-58; bios=agent; bootstrap=script) in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the system of setting an environment of a client as taught by Seli to include server switching for local or remote boots as taught by PL in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

Regarding claim 21, a method for setting an environment of a client in a client/server system (Seli: col. 6, lines 53-67), comprising:

downloading backup data to said client from said server (Seli: col. 2, lines 65-col. 3, line 4).

The Seli reference fails to teach the server issuing a client switching instruction.

However, the PL reference teaches

issuing a client switching instruction from said server wherein said client switching instruction instructs said client to boot from said server (PL: col. 6, lines 21-30, 42-58); issuing a client switching instruction from said server wherein said client switching instruction instructs said client to boot from said client (PL: col. 6, lines 21-30, 42-58; bios=agent; bootstrap=script) in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the system of setting an environment of a client as taught by Seli to include server switching for local or remote boots as taught by PL in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

Claims 3, 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,089 by Selitrennikoff et al ("herein referred to as Seli") in view of U.S. Patent No 6,421,777 by Pierre-Louis et al (herein after "PL") in further view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0156965 by Gusler et al.

Regarding claim 3, the Seli reference teaches an apparatus for setting environment of a client in a client/server system (Seli: col. 6, lines 53-67), said apparatus comprising:

a unit recognizing that replacement of a hard disk of a client is performed (Seli: col. 2, lines 51- col. 3, line 4); and

a unit that installs data (Seli: col. 3, lines 5-11), which is backed up from said hard disk provided in said client before the replacement thereof and subsequently and preliminarily stored in a server (Seli: col. 2, lines 65-col. 3, line 4), in response to the replacement thereof onto a hard disk provided in said client after the replacement thereof (Seli: col. 2, lines 51-65), said data being installed on said client by an agent residing on said client according to a reading of an execution script residing on the server (Seli: col. 12, lines 50-63; col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4), wherein:

09/811,584 Art Unit: 2155

a plurality of kinds of data are prepared in said server as the backed-up data (Seli: col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4), one of the plurality of kinds of data is selected (Seli: col. 9, lines 3-10; lines 38-42), the selected one kind of data comprising data backed up in the environment just before the replacement (Seli: col. 3, lines 61- col. 4, line 7),

the selected one kind of data is then installed in said client (Seli: col. 9, lines 3-10).

The Seli reference fails to teach the server issuing a client switching instruction.

However, the PL reference teaches wherein said server includes a manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely (PL: col. 6, lines 21-30, 42-58) in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the system of setting an environment of a client as taught by Seli to include server switching for local or remote boots as taught by PL in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

The modified Seli reference fails to teach using a log.

However, the Gusler reference teaches a unit keeping a log of processing performed on said client (Gusler: page 3, para 36; para 39) and periodically acquiring the selected one kind of data from said client before said replacement (Gusler: page 1, para 5) in order to effectively backup computers overcoming the burden to manually backing up data on a regular basis (Gusler: page 1, para 7-9).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server as taught by modified Seli to include periodically updating and a log as taught by Gusler in order to effectively backup computers overcoming the burden to manually backing up data on a regular basis (Gusler: page 1, para 7-9).

Regarding claim 11, the modified Seli reference teaches the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server system according to claim 3.

The modified Seli reference fails to teach a log.

However, the Gusler reference teaches a server has a unit keeping a log of processing performed on said client (Gusler: page 3, para 36; para 39) in order to effectively backup computers overcoming the burden to manually backing up data on a regular basis (Gusler: page 1, para 7-9).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server as taught by Seli to include periodically updating and a log as taught by Gusler in order to effectively backup computers overcoming the burden to manually backing up data on a regular basis (Gusler: page 1, para 7-9).

Regarding claim 8, the Seli reference teaches a method for setting the environment of a client in a client/server system (Seli: col. 6, lines 53-67), said method comprising:

recognizing that replacement of a hard disk of a client is performed (Seli: col. 2, lines 51-col. 3, line 4); and

installing data (Seli: col. 3, lines 5-11), which is backed up from said hard disk provided in said client before the replacement thereof and subsequently and preliminarily stored in a server (Seli: col. 2, lines 65-col. 3, line 4), in response to the replacement thereof onto a hard disk provided in said client after the replacement thereof (Seli: col. 2, lines 51-65), said data being installed on said client by an agent residing on said client according to a reading of an execution script residing on the server (Seli: col. 12, lines 50-63; col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4), wherein:

a plurality of kinds of data are prepared in said server as the backed-up data (Seli: col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4),

one of the plurality of kinds of data is selected (Seli: col. 9, lines 3-10; lines 38-42),

the selected one kind of data comprising data backed up in the environment just before the replacement (Seli: col. 3, lines 61- col. 4, line 7);

the selected one kind of data is then installed in said client (Seli: col. 9, lines 3-10).

The Seli reference fails to teach the server issuing a client switching instruction.

However, the PL reference teaches wherein said server includes a manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely (PL: col. 6, lines 21-30, 42-58) in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the system of setting an environment of a client as taught by Seli to include server switching for local or remote boots as taught by PL in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

The modified Seli reference fails to teach using a log.

However, the Gusler reference teaches a unit keeping a log of processing performed on said client (Gusler: page 3, para 36; para 39) and periodically acquiring the selected one kind of data from said client before said replacement (Gusler: page 1, para 5) in order to effectively backup computers overcoming the burden to manually backing up data on a regular basis (Gusler: page 1, para 7-9).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server as taught by modified Seli to include periodically updating and a log as taught by Gusler in order to effectively backup computers overcoming the burden to manually backing up data on a regular basis (Gusler: page 1, para 7-9).

Claims 4, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,089 by Selitrennikoff et al ("herein referred to as Seli") in view of U.S. Patent No

6,421,777 by Pierre-Louis et al (herein after "PL") in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,487,718 by Rodriguez et al.

Regarding claim 4, the Seli reference teaches an apparatus for setting environment of a client in a client/server system (Seli: col. 6, lines 53-67), said apparatus comprising: a unit recognizing that replacement of a hard disk of a client is performed (Seli: col. 2, lines 51-col. 3, line 4); and

a unit that installs data (Seli: col. 3, lines 5-11), which is backed up from said hard disk provided in said client before the replacement thereof and subsequently and preliminarily stored in a server (Seli: col. 2, lines 65-col. 3, line 4), in response to the replacement thereof onto a hard disk provided in said client after the replacement thereof (Seli: col. 2, lines 51-65), said data being installed on said client by an agent residing on said client according to a reading of an execution script residing on the server, wherein said server includes a manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely (Seli: col. 12, lines 50-63; col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4), wherein:

a plurality of kinds of data are prepared in said server as the backed-up data (Seli: col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4), one of the plurality of kinds of data is selected (Seli: col. 9, lines 3-10; lines 38-42), the selected one kind of data comprising data backed up in the environment just before the replacement (Seli: col. 3, lines 61- col. 4, line 7),

the selected one kind of data is then installed in said client (Seli: col. 9, lines 3-10). The Seli reference fails to teach the server issuing a client switching instruction.

However, the PL reference teaches wherein said server includes a manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely (PL: col. 6, lines 21-30, 42-58) in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the system of setting an environment of a client as taught by Seli to include server switching for local or remote boots as taught by PL in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

The modified Seli reference fails to teach updating to the latest version.

However, the Rodriquez reference teaches updating to the latest version (Rodriquez: col. 6, lines 59- col. 7, line 18) in order to overcomes the problem of having an installer or technician to physically travel to the client (Rodriquez: col. 7, lines 6-17).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server as taught by modified Seli to include updating to the latest version as taught by Rodriquez in order to eliminate the need for personnel to physically travel to the client (Rodriquez: col. 7, lines 6-17).

Regarding claim 9, a computer readable program recording medium for recording a program causing a computer to set the environment of a client in a client/server system (Seli: col. 6, lines 53-67), by:

recognizing that replacement of a hard disk of a client is performed (Seli: col. 2, lines 51-col. 3, line 4); and

installing data (Seli: col. 3, lines 5-11), which is backed up from said hard disk provided in said client before the replacement thereof and subsequently and preliminarily stored in a server (Seli: col. 2, lines 65-col. 3, line 4), in response to the replacement thereof onto a hard disk provided in said client after the replacement thereof (Seli: col. 2, lines 51-65), wherein:

a plurality of kinds of data are prepared in said server as the backed-up data (Seli: col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4), one of the plurality of kinds of data is selected (Seli: col. 9, lines 3-10; lines 38-42), the selected one kind of data comprising data backed up in the environment just before the replacement (Seli: col. 3, lines 61- col. 4, line 7), said data being installed on said client by an agent residing on said client according to a reading of an execution script residing on the server, (Seli: col. 12, lines 50-63; col. 2, lines 65- col. 3, line 4), wherein:

the selected one kind of data is then installed in said client (Seli: col. 9, lines 3-10).

The Seli reference fails to teach the server issuing a client switching instruction.

However, the PL reference teaches wherein said server includes a manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely (PL: col. 6, lines 21-30, 42-58) in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the system of setting an environment of a client as taught by Seli to include server switching for local or remote boots as taught by PL in order to update and upgrade various programs on client devices (PL: col. 1, lines 55-60).

The modified Seli reference fails to teach updating to the latest version.

However, the Rodriquez reference teaches updating to the latest version (Rodriquez: col. 6, lines 59- col. 7, line 18) in order to overcome the problem of having an installer or technician to physically travel to the client (Rodriquez: col. 7, lines 6-17).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server as taught by modified Seli to include updating to the latest version as taught by Rodriquez in order to eliminate the need for personnel to physically travel to the client (Rodriquez: col. 7, lines 6-17).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,089 by Selitrennikoff et al ("herein referred to as Seli") in view of U.S. Patent No 6,421,777 by Pierre-Louis et al (herein after "PL") in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,487,718 by Rodriguez et al in further view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0156965 by Gusler et al.

Regarding claim 12, the modified Seli reference teaches the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server system according to claim 4.

The modified Seli reference does not explicitly state using a log.

However, the Gusler reference has a unit keeping a log of processing performed on said client (Gusler: page 3, para 36; para 39) in order to effectively backing up computers overcoming the burden to manually backing up data on a regular basis (Gusler: page 1, para 7-9).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server as taught by modified Seli and Rodriguez to include a log as taught by Gusler in order to effectively backup computers overcoming the burden to manually backing up data on a regular basis (Gusler: page 1, para 7-9).

Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,089 by Selitrennikoff et al ("herein referred to as Seli") in view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0156965 by Gusler et al in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,133,065 by Cheffetz.

Regarding claim 15, the modified Seli reference teaches the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server system with a log according to claim 3.

The modified Seli reference mentions backing up and recovering file data but do not explicitly state documents.

However, the Cheffetz reference teaches wherein said client periodically sends document data to said server (Cheffetz: col. 5, lines 20-26; col. 3, lines 60-68; col. 1, lines 30-44) in order to minimize network consumption (Cheffetz: col. 3, lines 36-40) and allows incremental backups can be scheduled for off peak times (Cheffetz: col. 4, lines 6-16).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server with a log as taught by modified Seli to include periodically sending document data as taught by Cheffetz in order to minimize network consumption (Cheffetz: col. 3, lines 36-40) and allow incremental backups can be scheduled for off peak times (Cheffetz: col. 4, lines 6-16).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,089 by Selitrennikoff et al ("herein referred to as Seli") in view of U.S. Patent No

09/811,584 Art Unit: 2155

6,421,777 by Pierre-Louis et al (herein after "PL") in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,487,718 by Rodriguez et al in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,133,065 by Cheffetz.

Regarding claim 16, the modified Seli reference teaches the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server system with a log according to claim 4.

The modified Seli reference fails to teach documents.

However, the Cheffetz reference teaches wherein said client periodically sends document data to said server (Cheffetz: col. 5, lines 20-26; col. 3, lines 60-68; col. 1, lines 30-44) in order to minimize network consumption (Cheffetz: col. 3, lines 36-40) and allows incremental backups can be scheduled for off peak times (Cheffetz: col. 4, lines 6-16).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to create the apparatus for setting the environment of a client in a client/server with a log as taught by modified Seli to include sending document data as taught by Cheffetz in order to minimize network consumption (Cheffetz: col. 3, lines 36-40) and allow incremental backups can be scheduled for off peak times (Cheffetz: col. 4, lines 6-16).

#### REMARKS

Applicant has provided only arguments.

### **The Applicant Argues:**

That the PL reference does not teach "wherein said server includes a manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely."

<u>In response</u>, the examiner respectfully submits:

The examiner maintains the rejection because the PL reference still reads on the claim limitations.

Applicant argues the claim limitation out of context of the claim. The claim is broad and an obvious variation of the art. The claim states 'instructing an agent residing on the client' which is taught by PL through the client's dialog with the server through a request, 'to install data on the client according to a reading of a script residing on the server' which is taught when the client receives the bootstrapping instructions from the server, 'wherein said server includes a

09/811,584

Art Unit: 2155

manager issuing a client switching instruction instructing said client to boot locally or remotely' is taught by PL in col. 6, lines 42-58 where the server commands the client to continue booting remotely by installing a new image or to boot locally with the image stored in memory.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin R. Bruckart whose telephone number is (571) 272-3982. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Benjamin R Bruckart Examiner Art Unit 2155

SUPERVISOR STATE XAMINER